

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/726,966	Applicant(s) NARASIMHAN, SANGEETHA	
	Examiner CHAN S. PARK	Art Unit 2625	

All Participants:

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) Steve Ormiston (Reg. No. 35,974).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2007

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 2, 5, 10 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Lloyd (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,462) & Dalal (U.S. Patent No. 6,654,143)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Chan S. Park

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)